

Appendix E1. T-REX

T-REX Version 1.3.1

This spreadsheet was developed by the Terrestrial Biology and Exposure Technical Teams. For information or questions concerning this spreadsheet, please contact the Terrestrial Exposure Technical Team Co-Chairs.

New Version Notes

7/18/2005, v. 1.2.2. Mineau scaling factors for birds MUST be manually entered in the Inputs worksheet. While the EFED default value is 1.15 it MUST also be entered if that default is desired. If no value is provided, the model will exhibit warning statements in all outputs.

If the user desires to have no scaling performed, 0 may be entered in the Inputs worksheet.

Body weights for Maliard duck and Bobwhite qualt were adjusted (Dunning, 1984).

User can opt for test species for acute and chronic endpoints other than mallard and bobwhite (test animal body weight data must be provided)

User can opt for alternative bodyweights from defaults for endpoints established with bobwhite and mallard

The LD50 ft-2 data input section in the Inputs worksheet was reorganized to be more user-friendly.

LD50 ft-2 can now be calculated for a liquid broadcast application.

All references are available in the seed treatment worksheet. These references are also hyperlinked.

Maximum seeding rate information is now in blue and can be adjusted by the user.

8/1/2005, v. 1.2.3. A comment sheet has been added so that EFED users can provide feedback or pose questions to the Terrestrial Biology and Exposure Technical Teams

This feature is available to EFED users only.

12/7/2006, v. 1.3.1. A new sheet "Print Results" was added to facilitate cutting and pasting into MS Word documents. For transparency, the results summary tables include toxicity and exposure values used in the RQ calculations. Use of FDA's assumption that a lab rat consumes 5% of its diet daily was made optional for mammalian chronic studies. If a study reports both a NOAEC in ppm and a NOAEL in mg/kg-bw, both may be entered. The % a.i. cell was formatted to percent. The calculations of application rate (bits a.i./Acre) in the upper bound Kenaga and the mean Kenaga sheets no longer reference a hidden cell that calculates the application rate in terms of % a.i., but is calculated in the "application rate" cell.

Additional calculations were included to allow for additional characterization of the LDS0-ft2. A new sheet "Granular Characterization Calcs" estimates the number of granules needed to be consumed by a bird to achieve a dose that would trigger a level of concern. The associated minimum foraging area with sufficient number of granules to achieve a dose that exceeds the AdjLDS0 or 1/10th the AdjLDS0 is also estimated for various assumptions of feeding efficiency (10%, 50%, or 100% of granules in an area are consumed).

7/7/2007 v. 1.3.1. For clarification, percent a.i. was made a user input in the Granular Characterization Calcs sheet instead of importing the value from the Inputs sheet. No other changes were made to the spreadsheet

For further model information consult the 'User's Guide' document containing T-REX operating instructions and background information. User's Guide TREX v1.3.1, December 2006, USEPA Office of Pesticide Programs, Washington DC

References

References

Dunning, J.B. 1984. Body weights of 686 species of North American birds. Western Bird Banding Assoc. Monograph No. 1.

Fletcher, J.S., J.E. Nellesson and T. G. Pfleeger. 1994. Literature review and evaluation of the EPA food-chain (Kenaga) nomogram, an instrument for estimating pesticide residues on plants. Environ. Tox. And Chem. 13(9):1383-1391

Hoerger, F. and E.E. Kenaga. 1972. Pesticide residues on plants: correlation of representative data as a basis for estimation of their magnitude in the environment. IN: F. Coulston and F. Corte, eds., Environmental Quality and Safety. Chemistry, Toxicology and Technology. Vol 1. Georg Theime Publishers, Sutugart, Germany, pp. 9-28

Mineau, P., B.T. Collins, A. Baril. 1996. On the use of scaling factors to improve interspecies extrapolation to acute toxicity in birds. Reg. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 242-429

Urban, D. J. 2000. Guidance for Conducting Screening Level Avian Risk Assessments for Spray Applications of Pesticides. OPP/EFED, USEPA.

USEPA. 1993. Wildlife Exposure Factors Handbook. Volume I of II. EPA/600/R-93/187a. Office of Research and Development, Washington, D. C. 20460.

USEPA. 1995. Great Lakes Washington, D. C. Document Number EPA-820-B095-009

Willis and McDowell. 1987. Pesticide persistence on foliage. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 100:23-73

TREX Output

Artichokes

Upper Bound Kenaga Residues For RQ Calculation

Chemical Name:	Propyzamide
Use	Artichoke
Formulation	Propyzamide
Application Rate	4.08 lbs a.i./acre
Half-life	35 days
Application Interval	120 days
Maximum # Apps./Year	2
Length of Simulation	1 year

Acute and Chronic RQs are based on the Upper Bound Kenaga Residues.

The maximum single day residue estimation is used for both the acute and reproduction RQs.

RQs reported as "0.00" in the RQ tables below should be noted as <0.01 in your assessment. This is due to rounding and significant figure issues in Excel.

Endpoints			
	Bobwhite quail	LD50 (mg/kg-bw)	8870.00
Avian	Bobwhite quail	LC50 (mg/kg-diet)	10000.00
Avian	Bobwhite quail	NOAEL(mg/kg-bw)	20.00
	Bobwhite quail	NOAEC (mg/kg-diet)	267.00
		LD50 (mg/kg-bw)	5620.00
Mammals		LC50 (mg/kg-diet)	0.00
Maiiiiais		NOAEL (mg/kg-bw)	15.00
		NOAEC (mg/kg-diet)	200.00
Dietary-based EECs (ppm)	Kenaga		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Values		
Short Grass	1070.14		
Tall Grass	490.48		
Broadleaf plants/sm Insects	601.96		
Fruits/pods/seeds/lg insects	66.88		

Avian Results

Avian Class	Body Weight (g)	Ingestion (Fdry) (g bw/day)	Ingestion (Fwet) (g/day)	% body wgt consumed	FI (kg-diet/day)
Small	20	5	23	114	2.28E-02
Mid	100	13	65	65	6.49E-02
Large	1000	58	291	29	2.91E-01

Avian Body	Adjusted LD50
Weight (g)	(mg/kg-bw)
20	6390.21
100	8135.06
1000	11491.07

Avian Classes and Body Weights			
small	mid	large	
20 g	100 g	1000 g	
1218.79	695.00	311.16	
558.61	318.54	142.62	
685.57 76.17	390.94	175.03 19.45	
	small 20 g 1218.79 558.61	small mid 20 g 100 g 1218.79 695.00 558.61 318.54 685.57 399.94	

Dose-based RQs	A	vian Acute RQs	
(Dose-based EEC/adjusted LD50)	20 g	100 g	1000 g
Short Grass	0.19	0.09	0.03
Tall Grass	0.09	0.04	0.01
Broadleaf plants/sm insects	0.11	0.05	0.02
Fruits/pods/seeds/lg insects	0.01	0.01	0.00

Dietary-based RQs	F	(Qs
(Dietary-based EEC/LC50 or		
NOAEC)	Acute	Chronic
Short Grass	0.11	4.01
Tall Grass	0.05	1.84
Broadleaf plants/sm Insects	0.06	2.25
Fruits/pods/seeds/lg insects	0.01	0.25

Note: To provide risk management with the maximum possible information, it is recommended that both the dose-based and concentration-based RQs be calculated when data are available

Mammalian Results

Mammalian Class	Body Weight	Ingestion (Fdry)	Ingestion (Fwet) (g/day)	% body wgt consumed	FI (kg-diet/day)
	15	3	14	95	1.43E-02
Herbivores/	35	5	23	66	2.31E-02
insectivores	1000	31	153	15	1.53E-01
	15	3	3	21	3.18E-03
Grainvores	35	5	5	15	5.13E-03
	1000	31	34	3	3.40E-02

Mammalian	Body	Adjusted	Adjusted
Class	Weight	LD50	NOAEL
	15	12351.81	32.97
Herbivores/	35	9993.93	26.67
insectivores	1000	4322.68	11.54
	15	12351.81	32.97
Grainvores	35	9993.93	26.67
	1000	4322.68	11.54

		Mammalian Classes and Body weight					
Dose-Based EECs	He	erbivores/ insectivores			Granivores		
(mg/kg-bw)	15 g	35 g	1000 g	15 g	35 g	1000 g	
Short Grass	1020.30	705.16	163.49				
Tall Grass	467.64	323.20	74.94				
Broadleaf plants/sm Insects	573.92	396.65	91.97				
Fruits/pods/seeds/lg insects	63.77	44.07	10.22	14.17	9.79	2.27	

Dose-based RQs	15 g mammal		35 g mammal		1000 g mammal	
(Dose-based EEC/LD50 or NOAEL)	Acute	Chronic	Acute	Chronic	Acute	Chronic
Short Grass	0.08	30.95	0.07	26.44	0.04	14.17
Tall Grass	0.04	14.18	0.03	12.12	0.02	6.49
Broadleaf plants/sm insects	0.05	17.41	0.04	14.87	0.02	7.97
Fruits/pods/lg insects	0.01	1.93	0.00	1.65	0.00	0.89
Seeds (granivore)	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.37	0.00	0.20

Dietary-based RQs	Mammal RQs		
(Dietary-based EEC/LC50 or			
NOAEC)	Acute	Chronic	
Short Grass	#DIV/0!	5.35	
Tall Grass	#DIV/0!	2.45	
Broadleaf plants/sm insects	#DIV/0!	3.01	
Fruits/pods/seeds/lg insects	#DIV/0!	0.33	

Note: To provide risk management with the maximum possible information, it is recommended that both the dose-based and concentration-based RQs be calculated when data are available